

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,152	09/11/2003	Daniel A. Gamache	1814 US 4949	
7	590 02/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Teresa J. Schultz			HUI, SAN MING R	
6201 South Fre Mail Code Q-1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Worth, TX 76134-2099		1617		
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/660,152	GAMACHE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		San-ming Hui	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the n  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or e	THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nailing date of this communication. sove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period w xtended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 23 No	ovember 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINA		action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	rejected.					
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed		epted or b) objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (F		4) Interview Summary				
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

**Art Unit: 1617** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's amendments filed November 23, 2004 have been entered. The addition of claims 2-6 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 are pending.

The outstanding rejections are withdrawn in view of the amendments filed November 23, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 contains the trademark/trade name "ariflo". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the

**Art Unit: 1617** 

present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe the compound "cilomilast" and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite. Examiner notes that the claim also contains the trademark "V-11294A", "D-4418", "BRL-61063", and "SB-207499". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO98/37894 (English equivalent US Patent 6, 333,354 is also provided) and US Patent 6,326,388 ('388) in view of Aiello (Current Opinion in Ophthalmology, 1997;8(3):19-31).

US 6,333,354 teaches that a composition containing PDE 4 inhibitors such as SB-207499 as useful in treating retinopathy (See col. 2, line 19, col. 3, line 51).

**Art Unit: 1617** 

'388 teaches PDE 4 inhibitors therein as useful in inhibiting angiogenesis (See col. 4, line 20 – col. 5, line 20).

The primary references do not expressly teach SB-207499 as useful in teaching macular degeneration.

Aiello teaches angiogenesis playing an important role in age-related exudative macular degeneration See page 24, col. 1, last paragraph to col. 2). Aiello also teaches that the inhibition of angiogenesis would be useful in treating diseases induced by neovasulization (See page 25, col. 2 to page 27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the PDE 4 inhibitor SB-207499 containing composition in a method to treat exudative macular degeneration.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the PDE 4 inhibitor SB-207499 containing composition in a method to treat exudative macular degeneration. It is known that PDE 4 inhibitors as useful in inhibiting angiogenesis and angiogenesis inhibitors would be effective in treating exudative macular degeneration. Therefore, employing any known PDE 4 inhibitors, such as SB-207499, in the method of treating exudative macular degeneration would then be obvious, absent evidence to the contrary.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,152 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Art Unit: 1617** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

San-ming Hui

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617 Page 6